



Continuum of Care Renewal or Expansion Project Rating Form 2017

Project Name: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator Name: _____ Org: _____

Max 150

Training and Technical Assistance: All projects must agree to be responsive to training and technical assistance from the Collaborative Applicant, ECHO.		
Part A: Experience and Capacity (Maximum Points – 30)	Score	
1. Proven prior experience managing HUD and other grants (adequately resolved any open audit or monitoring findings in a timely manner i.e: CoC monitoring, HUD, ESG, VA, etc.)	6	
2. Is the project considered Housing First	8	
3. Goals and objectives for the project are clear, attainable, and meet the need of the CoC	2	
4. Proposed budget is clear and aligns with the eligible costs as identified in 24 CFR 578.43 - 63	2	
5. Project Policies and Procedures manual aligns with 24 CFR 578 HUD Continuum of Care program	2	
6. Did a representative from the organization attend the Grant Workshop on February 2, 2017	10	
Total Points for Experience and Capacity	Total (Max. 30)	0
Part B. Target Population (Maximum Points - 5)		
Project targets individuals/ families experiencing chronic homelessness, homeless families with a qualifying disability, Veteran households, unaccompanied youth/ households with dependent children, and/ or households experiencing domestic violence.	5	
Part C: HMIS Participation (Maximum Points – 10)		
1. Does the agency have HMIS lead approved policies and procedures for HMIS incorporated in their agency and/ or project policies and procedures manual	3	
2. Does the agency actively participate in HMIS and enters data in a timely manner	3	
3. Does the project have a data quality score greater than or equal to 95%	4	
Total Points for HMIS Data	Total (Max. 10)	0
Part D. Leverage (Maximum Points – 5)		
Project leveraged 150% +	5	
Part E. System and Project Performance Standards (Maximum Points – 90)		
Length of Stay (0 Points if time is more than 25 days for RRH or 200 days for TH)		
RRH - On average, participants spend 15 days from project entry to residential move-in. OR TH - On average, participants stay in project 180 days.	0 - 10	
Exit to Permanent Housing (0 Points if percent is less than 80%)		
RRH - At least 90% percent move to permanent housing. OR PSH - At least 90% remain in or move to permanent housing. OR TH - At least 90% move to permanent housing.	0 - 10	
Return to Homelessness (If data is available) (0 Points if more than 20%)		
Did more than 15% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH?	0 - 10	
New or Increased Income and Earned Income		
Did 8% or more of project stayers have new or increased earned income?	2.5	
Did 10% or more of project stayers have new or increased non-employment income?	2.5	
Did 8% or more of project leavers have new or increased earned income?	2.5	
Did 10% or more of project leavers have new or increased non-employment income?	2.5	

Serve High Need Populations		
RRH - More than 50% of participants had zero income at entry. OR PSH - More than 80% of participants had zero income at entry. OR TH - More than 50% if participants had zero income at entry.	0 - 10 (0 - 5 for TH)	
RRH - More than 50% of participants had more than one disability type. OR PSH - More than 75% of participants had more than one disability type. OR TH - More than 50% of participants had more than one disability type.	0 - 10 (0 - 5 for TH)	
RRH - More than 50% of participants entering project were from place not meant for habitation. PSH - More than 75% of participants entering project were from place not meant for habitation. TH - More than 50% entering project were from place not meant for habitation.	0 - 10 (0 - 5 for TH)	
Program has policies and procedures that screen all clients for eligibility for mainstream resources and assist them in accessing mainstream resources, and the specific plan for ensuring clients will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of the mainstream health, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply meets the needs of the program participants. Participants are assisted to both increase their incomes and live independently using mainstream housing and service programs in a manner that fits their needs.	3	
Total Points for Project Performance	Total (Max. 73)	0
System Performance		
Does the applicant participate in the CoC process (attend CoC meetings and trainings, participate on committees, etc.)	0 - 7	
Does the applicant participate in the Coordinated Entry System	0 - 7	
Does applicant conduct outreach outside of organization as evident by outreach logs or other verifiable source? <i>HUD defines outreach in this NOFA as implementing specific strategies that affirmatively further fair housing as detailed in 24 CFR 578.93(c); and that outreach is being conducted to homeless individuals and families who are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach programs.</i>	3	
Total Points for System Performance	Total (Max. 17)	0
Part F. Cost Effectiveness (Maximum Points –10)		
Budget is clearly articulated, with no unnecessary, unexplained, or ineligible items. Budgeted staff and program expenses are adequate to support the program and are cost effective. (Direct Services Vs. Admin.)	0 - 10	
Selection Committee comments:		
	FINAL SCORE (Max. 150)	0