



Continuum of Care Renewal Project Rating Form 2016

Project Name: _____ Date _____
 Evaluator Name: _____ Org: _____

Max 100

Training and Technical Assistance: All projects must agree to be responsive to training and technical assistance from the Collaborative Applicant, ECHO.		
Threshold Items		
Did a representative from the organization attend the Grant Workshop on March 29th, 2016	Yes	No
Part A: Experience and Capacity (Maximum Points – 20)		
	Points	Score
1. Proven prior experience managing HUD and other grants (adequately resolved any open audit or monitoring findings in a timely manner i.e: CoC monitoring, HUD, ESG, VA, etc.)	Yes = 6 No = 0	
2. Is the project considered Housing First	Yes = 8 No = 0	
3. Goals and objectives for the project are clear, attainable, and meet the need of the CoC	Yes = 2 No = 0	
4. Proposed budget is clear and aligns with the eligible costs as identified in 24 CFR 578.43 - 63	Yes = 2 No = 0	
5. Project Policies and Procedures manual aligns with 24 CFR 578 HUD Continuum of Care program	Yes = 2 No = 0	
Total Points for Experience and Capacity	Total	
Part B. Target Population (Maximum Points - 5)		
	Points	Score
Project targets individuals/ families experiencing chronic homelessness, homeless families with a qualifying disability, Veteran households, unaccompanied youth/ households with dependent children, and/ or households experiencing domestic violence.	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Part C: HMIS Participation (Maximum Points – 10)		
	Points	Score
1. Does the agency have HMIS lead approved policies and procedures for HMIS incorporated in their agency and/ or project policies and procedures manual	Yes = 3 No = 0	
2. Does the agency actively participate in HMIS and enters data in a timely manner	Yes = 3 No = 0	
3. Does the project have a data quality score greater than or equal to 95%	Yes = 4 No = 0	
Total Points for HMIS Data	Total	
Part D. Leverage (Maximum Points – 5)		
	Points	Score
Project leveraged 150% +	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Part E. System and Project Performance Standards (Maximum Points – 50)		
Project Performance	Points	Score
80% or more of project participants in program exit or remain in a permanent housing situation.	Yes = 5 No = 0	
80% or more of project participants in program increase or maintain total income from any/ all sources.	Yes = 5 No = 0	

Bed/ Unit utilization rate is at 85% or above	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Timely Submission of the CoC Annual Performance Report	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Timely drawdowns at least quarterly	Yes = 3 No = 0	
100% of beds that are not dedicated for chronically homeless in the project application are prioritized for chronically homeless households.	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Program has policies and procedures that screen all clients for eligibility for mainstream resources and assist them in accessing mainstream resources, and the specific plan for ensuring clients will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of the mainstream health, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply meets the needs of the program participants. Participants are assisted to both increase their incomes and live independently using mainstream housing and service programs in a manner that fits their needs.	Yes = 3 No = 0	
System Performance		
Does the applicant participate in the CoC process (attend CoC meetings and trainings, participate on committees, etc.)	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Does the applicant participate in the Coordinated Entry System	Yes = 7 No = 0	
Does applicant conduct outreach outside of organization as evident by outreach logs or other verifiable source? HUD defines outreach in this NOFA as implementing specific strategies that affirmatively further fair housing as detailed in 24 CFR 578.93(c); and that outreach is being conducted to homeless individuals and families who are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach programs.	Yes = 2 No = 0	
Total Points for Performance	Total	
Part F. Cost Effectiveness (Maximum Points –10)		
	Points	Score
Budget is clearly articulated, with no unnecessary, unexplained, or ineligible items. Budgeted staff and program expenses are adequate to support the program and are cost effective. (Direct Services Vs. Admin.)	0 - 10	
Selection Committee comments:		
FINAL SCORE		