



Continuum of Care New Project Rating Form 2016

Project Name: _____ Date _____

Evaluator Name: _____ Org: _____

Max 100

Training and Technical Assistance: All projects must agree to be responsive to training and technical assistance from the Collaborative Applicant, ECHO.

Threshold Items		
Did a representative from the organization attend the Grant Workshop on March 29th, 2016	Yes	No
Is the applicant a unit of government or non profit in good standing with the SC Secretary of State and IRS 501c3 status.	Yes	No
Does application have all sections completed and attachments included and clearly written?	Yes	No
Does the application propose an eligible project type? (PSH: Rapid Rehousing or PSH: Serving Chronically homeless with the head of household having a qualified disability)	Yes	No
Does application propose serving an eligible population? <i>-See eligible population definitions for this year's competition</i>	Yes	No
Does the application include appropriate documentation of required Match?	Yes	No
Amount of Request: ECHO retains the right to request that new applicants adjust the amount of their request. Is project still viable with the adjusted request?	Yes	No

IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF THESE QUESTIONS IS NO THE APPLICATION WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED FOR FUNDING

Part A: Housing First Approach (Maximum Points – 20)		
	Points	Score
Does the project follow the Housing First approach offering assistance without preconditions/ barriers (such as sobriety) and rapid placement/stabilization in permanent housing. (3B,5 a-d)	Yes = 15 No = 0	
Does the project have a specific population focus? If Yes, is it compatible with identifying participants through Coordinated Entry System and follow HUD's policy priorities: Chronic homeless, Veterans, Youth (under 25), Families, and Domestic Violence.	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Total Points for Housing First Approach		

Part B: Program Description (Maximum Points - 10)		
	Points	Score
Program design includes provision of appropriate supportive services and type, scale, and location of the supportive services fit the needs of the program participants and the mode of transportation to those services. Program participants are helped to obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs.	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Linkages to mainstream and other supportive services are described	Yes = 5 No = 0	

Part C: Mainstream Resources (Maximum Points - 10)		
	Points	Score
Program has policies and procedures that screen all clients for eligibility for mainstream resources and assist them in accessing mainstream resource, and the specific plan for ensuring clients will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of the mainstream health, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply meets the needs of the program participants. Participants are assisted to both increase their incomes and live independently using mainstream housing and service programs in a manner that fits their needs.	Yes = 5 No = 0	

Program conducts or provides access to training for staff on available mainstream resources for which clients may qualify.	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Part D: Experience and Capacity (Maximum Points – 40)		
	Points	Score
1. Application clearly explains the need for the project within the CoC system and is ready for implementation within 90 days of contract execution.	5	
2. Agency has successfully operated at least one program similar to the one proposed for at least two years and/ or has a strong grant management, compliance and performance history of administring and leveraging Federal, State, local, or private funds. Has prior experience: Providing homeless housing or services; administering rental assistance; or as a landlord or property management entity.	15	
3. Does the agency have adequate Bylaws, Organizational Policies and Procedures, and an adequate financial accounting system in place.	10	
4. Proposed budget is clear and realistic and the project has adquate staffing to carryout proposed activities.	5	
5. If the proposed PSH project includes construction or aquisition/ rehab does the applicant have site control or a enforceble commitment to obtain site control.	5	
Total Points for Experience and Capacity		
Part E. Leverage (Maximum Points – 5)		
	Points	Score
Project leveraged at 150% or more	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Total Points for Leveraging		
Part F. Cost Effectiveness (Maximum Points – 15)		
	Points	Score
Application will be evaluated on the proposed budget in relation to the scope of the project.	Yes = 10 No = 0	
Percentage of program budget that reflects direct services to participants.	Yes = 5 No = 0	
Total Points for Cost Effectiveness		
Selection Committee comments:		
FINAL SCORE		